October 27, 2021

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi  
The Honorable Charles Schumer  
Speaker of the House  
Senate Majority Leader  
United States House of Representatives  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20515  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Speaker Pelosi and Leader Schumer:

We have a once-in-a-generation opportunity to create high quality American jobs and tackle climate chaos by delivering on President Biden’s goal of cutting our greenhouse gas emissions in half by 2030.¹ In order to achieve this ambitious goal and avert the worst impacts of climate chaos, we must invest in truly clean energy and reject empty promises from the fossil fuel industry. Hydrogen has been touted as a “zero-emission,” alternative energy source in our clean energy transition, but recent peer reviewed science has found that fossil fuel-based hydrogen might have greater greenhouse gas impacts than traditional fossil fuels. As policymakers, we must be attentive to the reality that not all hydrogen is clean and reject efforts to further subsidize dirty hydrogen in the Build Back Better Act.

The vast majority of Americans recognize the existential threat posed by climate change, and support a rapid transition to 100% clean, renewable energy.² Clean hydrogen may have an important role to play in decarbonizing sectors of our economy where it would be extremely difficult to do so with other technologies. There’s just one problem: current hydrogen production is not at all “clean.” In fact, 95% of hydrogen produced in the U.S. comes from fossil fuels.³ Blue hydrogen may have a larger greenhouse gas footprint than natural gas, coal, or diesel on a life-cycle basis, according to a recent peer-reviewed study by researchers from Cornell University and Stanford University.⁴ In fact, blue hydrogen production may result in higher methane emissions than natural gas, diesel, oil, and coal. This is an extremely important finding because “we cannot achieve the Paris Agreement targets without immediately reducing methane,” according to a United Nations report published this year.⁵

Hydrogen produced through steam methane reformation emits health-harming pollutants such as nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, carbon monoxide and volatile organic compounds.⁶ The burning of hydrogen is also a significant source of nitrogen oxides, which contributes to respiratory and heart diseases. The expansion of fossil-fuel based hydrogen would inevitably harm disproportionately low-income communities and communities of color because these are the same communities which have carried the weight of fossil fuel pollution for generations.

---

² https://www.axios.com/poll-biden-clean-energy-0ce4ef8e0-873d-4c55-b27d-8fabdbd7aa89.html
As we look to make significant investments in our clean energy future, we need to ensure that we are not investing in energy sources that could worsen greenhouse gas emissions. False solutions have already cost us precious time in our fight against climate chaos. We cannot afford to subsidize hydrogen production with a carbon footprint worse than that of coal or natural gas. Thank you for your attention to this issue as we work towards a truly clean energy future.
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