## Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

November 14, 2023

The Honorable Jennifer Granholm Secretary U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave. SW Washington DC 20585

## Dear Secretary Granholm:

We write to urge the Department of Energy (DOE) to update how it determines whether new licenses for liquefied natural gas (LNG) exports are in the public interest. Under the Natural Gas Act, DOE is required to use determine whether it is in the public interest to export LNG to counties where the U.S. does not have an existing free trade agreement (FTA). We are concerned that DOE's current approach does not fully or accurately consider how LNG exports negatively impact the climate, environmental justice communities, or increase domestic energy prices. US LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to almost double exports again. DOE's case-by-case approach to approvals ignores the aggregate impact that the explosive growth in U.S. LNG exports is having on climate, communities, and our economy.

We encourage DOE to develop a generally-applicable approach, informed by updated climate and economic analyses, for how it will consider the aforementioned factors in LNG export permit determinations. This approach should be laid out in a transparent manner in guidance or rulemaking, which DOE should open to the public for comment. DOE also must ensure going forward that it consistently applies this approach in its review of all LNG export applications. DOE has never rejected an LNG export application on the basis of adverse impacts to the American people, and we urge DOE to consider at what point additional export licenses are no longer consistent with the public interest.

In particular, DOE continues to assess proposed LNG export projects using outdated and insufficient methods of measuring climate impacts. DOE's assumption that all LNG exports yield net climate benefits<sup>4</sup> is both outdated and inconsistent with the latest climate science<sup>5</sup>, as is the assumption that project-specific emissions will be offset.<sup>6</sup> 7 Scientific reports, including a recent peer reviewed study<sup>8</sup> from Brown University and RMI, have found that natural gas can be as bad for the climate as coal, when only small methane leaks are factored in. Analysis from the Sierra Club<sup>9</sup> has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 681 coal plants or 548 million gasoline-powered cars annually, putting domestic and global climate targets out of reach. The US Energy Information Administration (EIA)<sup>10</sup> has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE make decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that fully takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EIA, https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n9133us2A.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=42575

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.energy.gov/fecm/life-cycle-greenhouse-gas-perspective-exporting-liquefied-natural-gas-united-states

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.energv.gov/sites/default/files/2022-06/draft-seis-0512-s1-alaska-lng-volume-1-2022-07 508.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.research.howarthlab.org/publications/Howarth\_LNG\_assessment\_preprint\_archived\_2023-1103.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ace3db

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://environmentalintegrity.org/news/boom-in-lng-could-add-more-than-90-million-tons-of-greenhouse-gases-a-year/

<sup>8</sup> https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ace3db

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://www.sierraclub.org/dirty-fuels/us-lng-export-tracker

<sup>10</sup> https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/data/browser/

Increased LNG exports also have important implications for environmental (in)justice, and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied, and also in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

Finally, LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country. The EIA found that "higher LNG exports create a tighter domestic natural gas market ... increasing domestic natural gas prices" and this link was on clear display when an explosion at Freeport LNG sent domestic gas prices plummeting and its announced restart caused them to rise sharply again. <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these additional exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation, particularly low-income households, whose energy burden is typically three times higher <sup>15</sup> than non low-income households.

It is critical that DOE assess the climate, environmental justice, and consumer impacts when determining whether exports are in the public interest, especially as the agency considers its current pipeline of 16 LNG export projects under review. With the agency's pending decision on the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) export application, in particular, the stakes could not be higher. Once the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) issues a decision on the project, DOE will make its own determination regarding whether or not exports from CP2 exports to non-FTA countries are in the public interest. It is long past time for DOE to update and clarify how it determines whether LNG export projects are in the public interest, starting with the CP2 project. No public interest determination will be viewed as credible unless the perspectives of the public are heard, understood, and reflected in DOE's decision on CP2 and across the board.

We thank you for your consideration and look forward to DOE's swift action to improve both the process and the criteria by which the agency determines whether LNG exports are in the public interest.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Merkley

United States Senator

Jared Huffman

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2023/04/21/executive-order-on-revitalizing-our-nations-commitment-to-environmental-justice-for-all/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> EIA, https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/IIF LNG/pdf/LNG Issue in Focus.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> https://www.houstonchronicle.com/business/energy/article/Natural-gas-prices-fall-after-Freeport-LNG-17230241.php

<sup>14</sup> https://www.oilandgas360.com/u-s-gas-prices-jump-as-freeport-lng-targets-full-restart-in-march-2023/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> www.energy.gov/eere/slsc/low-income-community-energy-solutions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> DOE, https://www.energy.gov/fecm/articles/summary-lng-export-applications-lower-48-states

United States Senator

Manette Diaz Barragán

Nanette Diaz Barragán Member of Congress

United States Senator

United States Senator

Sheldon Whitehouse **United States Senator** 

**United States Senator** 

Member of Congress

Jasmine Cro Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Chris Van Hollen United States Senator

Dan Goldman Member of Congress

Peter Welch

**United States Senator** 

Tina Smith

United States Senator

Cory A. Booker

**United States Senator** 

Brian Schatz

United States Senator

Summer Lee

Member of Congress

Greg Casar

ember of Congress

Katie Porter

Member of Congress

Sean Casten

James P. McGovern Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Mark Takano Member of Congress

Mark Jaleans

Adriano Espaillat Member of Congress

Betty McCollum Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Ville Ter

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez Member of Congress Jan Schakowsky
Member of Congress

Shri Thanedar Member of Congress

Emanuel Cleaver, II
Member of Congress

Paul D. Tonko

Member of Congress

Seth Magaziner
Member of Congress

Barbara Lee

Member of Congress

Nydia M. Velázquez Member of Congress

Ann McLane Kuster Member of Congress

Jamie Raskin

Member of Congress

Alma S. Adams, Ph.D.

Member of Congress

Steve Cohen

Member of Congress

Dina Titus

Earl Blumenauer Member of Congress

Chellie Pingree
Member of Congress

Chell R

Bonnie Watson Coleman Member of Congress

Mark DeSaulnier
Member of Congress

Robert C. "Bobby" Scott Member of Congress

Eleanor Holmes Norton Member of Congress

Pramila Jayapal Member of Congress

Ritchie Torres
Member of Congress

Juan Vargas Member of Congress

Raúl M. Grijalva Member of Congress Tony Cardenes
Tony Cardenas

Member of Congress

Ro Khanna

Member of Congress

Delia C. Ramirez

Member of Congress

Sara Jacobs

Member of Congress

Dwight Evans

Member of Congress

Suzanne Bonamici

Member of Congress

Frederica S. Wilson

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Andrea Salinas

Member of Congress

Jesús G. "Chuy" García

Judy Chu Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Ilhan Omar